

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/749,144	12/27/2000	Wouter Roorda	3764.P142	4762
8791 7:	590 08/10/2006		EXAM	INER
BLAKELY S	OKOLOFF TAYLO	BENTON, JASON		
12400 WILSHI	RE BOULEVARD	,		
SEVENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELE	ES, CA 90025-1030		3747	

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/749,144	ROORDA, WOUTE	:R			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jason Benton	3747				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence add	iress			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become AB.	CATION.  Sply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this cor  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)  又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M	fav 2006.					
,—		action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the	merits is			
٠/١ـــا	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
· _		ling in the application					
-	4) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,10,11,24 and 33-37</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,10,11,24 and 33-37</u> is/are rejected.						
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers		·	• ,			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
•	The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 December 2000</u> is/a		objected to by the Exami	ner.			
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-	· .			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CFI	R 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTC	<b>O-152</b> .			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Ap	oplication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been	received in this National S	3tage			
	application from the International Bureau	' ''					
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not r	eceived.				
		•					
Attachmen	t(s)			••*			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-	-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:					

Art Unit: 3747

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 5-6, 8, 24, 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Duffy.

The patent by Duffy (6,048,332) shows the method of delivering an arteriogenic factor to a vessel region in a medically effective manner to structurally enlarge an existing blood vessel.

It is the opinion of the examiner that the delivery of an arteriogenic factor to a vessel region would result in the arteriogenic factor being supplied to the primary vessel and any bypass vessel in the region.

The vessel region is injured.

A needle catheter or a balloon catheter is provided to accommodate the arteriogenic factor. The arteriogenic factor is advanced from the needle catheter or the balloon catheter to the vessel region.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 3747

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 3, 33, 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duffy.

The patent by Duffy (6,048,332) does not specify the delivery timing of the arteriogenic factor. It is the view of the examiner that the length of delivery duration and the timing of delivery is an obvious choice of design dependant on the type of arteriogenic factor being delivered to the blood vessel region.

Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duffy.

The patent by Duffy (6,048,332) does not specify the temperature of the catheter. It is the view of the examiner that the temperature of the catheter is an obvious choice of design dependant on the type of arteriogenic factor being delivered to the blood vessel region.

Claims 4 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duffy.

The patent by Duffy (6,048,332) does not specify that the arteriogenic factor can be delivered with a syringe. It is the view of the examiner that the method of delivery of the factor, whether it is a syringe or catheter is an obvious choice of design. Both

Art Unit: 3747

methods of delivery are well known in the art and no new or unexpected results are achieved by delivering the arteriogenic factor with a syringe rather than a catheter.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/30/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is argued that the patent by Duffy does not show the vessel region being injured then enlarged. It is the view of the examiner that the delivery of the arteriogenic factor would inherently damage the vessel region, even if only minimally. There is also the likelihood that the vessel region was injured previously, hence the need of the arteriogenic factor of Duffy.

It is further the view of the examiner that upon delivery of the arteriogenic factor to the vessel region, it is inherent that some of the factor would be absorbed into bypass vessels in the region. The patent by Duffy therefor shows the same structure as is claimed, and should be expected to achieve the same results.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 3747

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Benton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4838. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Cronin can be reached on (571) 272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JB

STEPHEN K. CRONIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER